
### Cant be co2


#### The rise of co2 since 1950s




# If co2 was doing this, it should have done more, earlier

• Le Chateliar's principle states that if a **dynamic equilibrium** is disturbed by changing the conditions, the position of equilibrium shifts to counteract the change to reestablish equilibrium.

#### Sst 1951-1960




### 1981-1990 no changes




### Air temps 51-60

1951-1960




#### 81-90

#### 1981-1990



# When geothermal increase started that's when the heat started coming




### Astounding correlation

The information is from Dr. Arthur Viterito. He writes:

"As you can see, the "goodness of fit" is excellent. Expressed numerically, the correlation between the mid-ocean seismic activity and heat content is 0.89 and the coefficient of determination (i.e., the correlation squared) is .794. What that means is that mid-ocean seismic activity, our proxy for geothermal heat release, is explaining 79.4% of the variation in the oceanic heat content!!! That is remarkably high, and, according to the regression model, the odds that this high of a correlation is occurring by 'chance' is .0000000000000000104%."

# The air warms more in the arctic due to winter temps and WV correlation



# Tiny amounts warm it where and when its coldest


Table for Fahre Temperature (°F)	Saturation Mixing Ratio
Or	(g / kg)
Dew Point	Or
Temperature (°F)	Mixing Ratio (g / kg)
-40	0.12
-30	0.21
-20	0.35
-10	0.58
0	0.94
10	1.52
15	1.89
20	2.34
25	2.88
30	3.54
35	4.33
40	5.28
45	6.40
50	7.74
55	9.32
60	11.19
65	13.38
70	15.95
75	18.94
80	22.43
85	26.48
90	31.16
95	36.56
100	43.22

----- Function D. .....


Water Vapor has increased about 1 g/kg in age of geothermal spreading

• At the current global temperature this correlates almost perfectly to the rise in temp we have observed. No such correlation possible with co2. In addition scaling of WV is not only, like geothermal input hidden from most, it is on a scale that is not equal to co2. Why? Because ppm we measure co2 is so small compared to g/kg in wv we cant put it on the same scale


# NO ARCTIC WARMING IN SUMMER (so how does all the ice melt)




### Skin temperatures. Again debunks co2




### Surge of nino related warmth says its WV



# Co2 is .00042 of that. Mans input .1. Us Input .01. It's a joke




### Notice the change since the 97 super nino




### LESS CLOUDS NOW OVER TROPICS. OPPOSITE OF THEIR TRAPPING HOT SPOT THEORY


1951-1960



last 10 years



#### No wonder climate models bust



#### BTW. ITS NOT THAT WARM

Currently: 57.37°F/14.09°C


Deviation: 0.17°F/0.09°C

**Stations processed last hour: 61186** 


ast station processed: Vancouver, United States

Update time: 2023-09-26 10:24:41 UTC


#### Where those weather stations are




#### Thermohaline circulation



# Current naturally pushes warmer water into the northern oceans



### Increase in Geothermal inpu



#### CONCLUSIONS

You have geothermal input.

You have increased solar over the tropics.

You have a linkage to the step-up process in the actual temperatures (arctic proving it's water vapor).

You have the natural reason backed up by Le Chetelliers as to why that happens.

And you have the MEI that is showing you the attempt to reset the equilibrium.

#### CURRENT WARM SPIKE PURELY NATURAL

- La Nina reverses to el nino, meaning another release of WV from geothermal input build-up
- Volcano last year goes off and pumps decades worth of WV into the air from that source
- SO what happens to the temperature? It spikes
- •NONE OF IT IS FROM MAN-MADE SOURCES

Amount of WV increase explains temperature